

Behaviour of the ergodic sums for non regular continuous functions

Julien Brémont

Université de Rennes 1, 1999

Abstract

We consider a model of random walk on \mathbb{Z} with transition to the closest neighbours when the medium is defined by an irrational rotation T on the circle S^1 . We study the invariant measure of the random walk of “the environments seen from the particle”. Following [1], the equation for finding such measures is equivalent, in the critical case, to some quasi-invariance equation of the form $T^{-1}\nu = h\nu$ for some function $h > 0$ with $\int \log h d\mu = 0$. It is proved in [1] that if $\log h$ has bounded variation then the solution is unique and never atomic. We will give examples showing that it is no longer true if we assume only that $\log h$ is continuous. We will provide similar examples in the context of the 2-odometer. We will then show that for such functions the ergodic sums verify a central limit theorem with an almost linear normalization.

1 introduction

Let S^1 be the circle identified with $[0, 1)$ and $T = T_\alpha$ be an irrational rotation of angle α . We consider the dynamical system (S^1, T, dx) , where dx designs Lebesgue Measure. Assume to be given two positive measurable functions p and q on S^1 such that $p + q = 1$ and such that there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ with $\varepsilon < p < 1 - \varepsilon$. Fixing an initial point on S^1 , we consider the random walk on S^1 with generator P defined as $Pf(x) = p(x)f(Tx) + q(x)f(T^{-1}x)$. It is the random walk of “the environments seen from the particle” which is associated a random walk on \mathbb{Z} in random medium (see [1]). We consider the invariant Borel probability measures on S^1 for this random walk, that is such that $P\nu = \nu$.

We first recall cocycle notations. For any Borel function $f > 0$ on S^1 , we set $f_0 = 1$ and for $n \geq 1$:

$$f_n(x) = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} f(T^j x) \text{ and } f_{-n}(x) = \prod_{j=-1}^{-n} (1/f)(T^j x).$$

We recall some results of Conze and Guivarc’h [1]. In the critical case, that is $\int \log(p(x)/q(x)) dx = 0$, the following lemma exhibits a link with a quasi-invariance equation.

Lemma 1.1

If $\int \log(p/q)(x) dx = 0$, the equations $P\nu = \nu$ and $T^{-1}\nu = h\nu$ with $h = p/Tq$ are equivalent.

We now consider the equation $T^{-1}\nu = h\nu$, for some strictly positive continuous function h which satisfies $\int \log h = 0$. Using the separability of the space of continuous functions on S^1 and a diagonal argument it is proved in [1] that there is always a probability measure solution of this equation. If one assumes that $\log(h)$ has bounded variation then one can prove that the solution is unique. In the same context, the measure solution ν has no atom. Indeed, if for some $x \in S^1$ one has $\nu\{x\} > 0$, then $\nu(T^n x) = h_n(x)\nu\{x\}$ and therefore $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} h_k(x) < +\infty$. One already remarks that reciprocally, if the sum is finite for a certain x , then one builds an atomic measure supported by the orbit of x . Writing $(p_n/q_n)_{n \geq 0}$ for the convergents of α , one can now use the following inequality :

Lemma 1.2 (*Denjoy-Koksma*)

Let $f : S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ have bounded variation and such that $\int f(x) dx = 0$. Then :

$$\left| \sum_{k=0}^{q_n-1} f(T^k x) \right| \leq 2 \operatorname{Var}(f).$$

The previous lemma indicates that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $C^{-1} \leq h_{q_n} \leq C$, so the measure ν cannot have an atom.

We will now prove that if we weaken the hypothesis of bounded variation on $\log(h)$, then neither unicity nor the non-atomic character remain valid. This way we will build a continuous function h such that the set of solutions of the equation $T^{-1}\nu = h\nu$ contains atomic measures supported by the orbit of every rational point in S^1 .

2 Counter-examples.

We write $(p_n/q_n)_{n \geq 0}$ for the sequence of convergents of the irrational α . If the expansion of α in continued fraction is $\alpha = 1/(a_0 + 1/(a_1 + 1/(\dots)))$, then p_n/q_n is obtained by truncating at level n . The sequences $(p_{2n}/q_{2n})_{n \geq 0}$ and $(p_{2n+1}/q_{2n+1})_{n \geq 0}$ are adjacent and we have $q_{2n}\alpha - p_{2n} > 0$. Writing (x) the distance from a real x to \mathbb{Z} , we have the following result.

Theorem 2.1

For $n \geq 1$, define $a_n = \lfloor \sqrt{\log n} \rfloor$, $b_n = \lfloor ((q_{2n}\alpha))^{-1} \theta^{-2n} \rfloor$ with $\theta = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$ and set :

$$\log h(x) := \sum_{n \geq 1} n^{-2} \sin(4\pi(a_n!)b_n q_{2n} x).$$

Then for all x in \mathbb{Q} , $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} h_k(x) < +\infty$.

Proof of the theorem :

Set $f = \log h$. Then one has $f(x) = -f(-x)$ and $\int f(x) dx = 0$. For any integer $M \geq 1$, write $(S_M f)(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} f(x + k\alpha)$. Writing $m_n = (a_n!)b_n q_{2n}$, one gets for the point 0 :

$$(S_M f)(0) = \sum_{n \geq 1} (1/n^2) \left(\frac{\sin(2\pi m_n M \alpha) \sin(2\pi m_n (M+1)\alpha)}{\sin(2\pi m_n \alpha)} \right).$$

One can now develop $\sin(2\pi m_n (M+1)\alpha) = \sin(2\pi m_n M \alpha) \cos(2\pi m_n \alpha) + \sin(2\pi m_n \alpha) \cos(2\pi m_n M \alpha)$ and use the fact that $\cos(2\pi m_n \alpha) = 1 + O((m_n \alpha)^2)$. Therefore we have :

$$(S_M f)(0) = \sum_{n \geq 1} (1/n^2) \frac{\sin^2(2\pi m_n M \alpha)}{\sin(2\pi m_n \alpha)} + O(1).$$

One then remarks that $m_n \alpha = ((q_{2n}\alpha))(a_n!)b_n + p_{2n}(a_n!)b_n$ and that we have the majoration :

$$((q_{2n}\alpha))(a_n!)b_n \leq ((q_{2n}\alpha))\theta^{-2n} \left(e^{\sqrt{\log n}} \right)^{\sqrt{\log n}} ((q_{2n}\alpha))^{-1} \leq n\theta^{-2n},$$

which is $< (1/2)$ for n large enough. Thus :

$$(S_M f)(0) \geq \frac{8}{\pi} \sum_{((m_n \alpha)) \leq (1/4M)} (1/n^2) M^2 ((m_n \alpha)) + O(1).$$

As the sequence $\{((m_n))\}_{n \geq 1}$ tends to 0, we now write n_0 an index such that $((m_{n_0} \alpha)) \leq (1/4M) < ((m_{n_0-1} \alpha))$. Writing $C > 0$ a generic constant, we have :

$$(S_M f)(0) \geq CM(1/n_0^2) \frac{((m_{n_0} \alpha))}{((m_{n_0-1} \alpha))} + O(1).$$

As for any $n \geq 1$, one has $(1/4)(a_n!)\theta^{-2n} \leq ((m_n\alpha)) \leq (a_n!)\theta^{-2n}$, we get that :

$$((m_{n_0}\alpha))/((m_{n_0-1}\alpha)) \geq C_1 > 0 \text{ and } n_0 \geq C_2 \log M \text{ with } C_2 > 0.$$

Finally, we obtain :

$$(S_M f)(0) \geq C \frac{M}{(\log M)^2} + O(1).$$

For any x , one has the formula :

$$(S_M f)(x) = \sum_{n \geq 1} (1/n^2) \frac{\sin(2\pi m_n(M+1)\alpha) \sin(2\pi m_n(M\alpha + 2x))}{\sin(2\pi m_n\alpha)}. \quad (1)$$

If $x \in \mathbb{Q}$, as $m_n = q_{2n}(a_n!)b_n$ with $a_n \rightarrow +\infty$, one observes that in (1), $(S_M f)(x)$ and $(S_M f)(0)$ will differ by finitely many terms, independently on M . The result follows. \square

Remark. — If α has a bounded expansion in continued fraction, then the function $f(x) = \sum_{n \geq 1} (1/n^2) \sin(4\pi q_{2n}x)$ gives the same minoration with the points 0 and 1/2. The fact that $\int f(x) dx = 0$ implies the recurrence of the ergodic sums $(S_M f)(x)$ for almost every x . We thus have an example where the rationals don't satisfy this property. A calculus in L^2 - norm confirms an almost linear growth of the ergodic sums. Proceeding as above, one can obtain :

$$C_1 \frac{M}{(\log M)^2} \leq \|S_M f\|_{L^2} \leq C_2 M.$$

As for every $x \in \mathbb{Q}$, one creates an atomistic extremal measure supported by the orbit of x , one gets a set indexed by \mathbb{Q} of distinct measures, solutions of the equation $T^{-1}\nu = h\nu$.

Corollary 2.2

Let h be defined as in theorem (2.1), then the equation $T^{-1}\nu = h\nu$ admits infinitely many solutions. Similarly if positive p and q on S^1 satisfy $p+q = 1$ and $\log(p/q) = h$, the equation $T^{-1}\nu = (p/Tq)\nu$ admits infinitely many solutions. Therefore it is also the case for the equation $P\nu = \nu$ with $Pf = pTf + qT^{-1}f$.

3 Similar examples in the context of the 2-odometer.

For further study, we now consider the model of the “adding machine”. Let $(G, +)$ where G is the compact group $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ equipped with the product of the discrete topologies and “+” is the usual addition in base 2.

In a first step, we search the characters of this group. Let $\varphi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a character. One has then for any $n \geq 0$: $\varphi(x_0, \dots, x_n, 0, \dots) = e^{\theta \sum_{i=0}^n 2^i x_i}$, having set $\varphi(1, 0, \dots) = e^{2i\pi\theta}$. As we must have $e^{2i\pi\theta 2^n} \rightarrow 1$, when $n \rightarrow +\infty$, it is necessary that θ can be written as $\theta = k/2^m$ for integers $k \geq 0$ and $m \geq 0$, which defines a character $\varphi_{k,m}$.

We now replace the angle α of the previous section by 1. The sequence $(q_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is replaced by the sequence $(2^n)_{n \geq 0}$. We consider functions of the following type :

$$g(x) = \text{Im} \left(\sum_{n \geq 1} n^{-2} \varphi_{2,n}(x) \right) = \sum_{n \geq 1} n^{-2} \sin \left(\frac{4\pi}{2^n} \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} 2^i x_i \right), \text{ with } x = (x_i)_{i \geq 0}.$$

The function g has 0-integral with respect to Haar measure and verifies $g(-x) = -g(x)$. The same calculus as in the preceding section shows that the ergodic sums $(S_M g)(0)$ at the point 0 are also minored a quantity in $O(M(\log M)^{-2})$. We will now consider a slightly different function that will still satisfy a minoration of the same kind, but for which one can prove a law convergence.

Theorem 3.1

Fix $1 < \delta < 2$, write $\varphi(n) = \lfloor \delta^n \rfloor$ for $n \geq 0$ and consider $f(x) = \sum_{n \geq 1} n^{-2} \sin \left(\frac{4\pi}{2^{\varphi(n)}} \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} 2^i x_i \right)$. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for M large enough :

$$(S_M f)(0) \geq C \frac{M^{(2-\delta)}}{(\log \log M)^2}.$$

Proof of the theorem :

As in the proof of theorem (2.1), neglecting quantities of order $(\sum 1/n^2)$, we have :

$$(S_M f)(0) = \sum_{n \geq 1} (1/n^2) \frac{\sin^2 \left(\frac{2\pi}{2^{\varphi(n)}} M \right)}{\sin \left(\frac{2\pi}{2^{\varphi(n)}} \right)} + O(1).$$

Writing n_0 the index such that $2^{\varphi(n_0-1)} \leq 4M < 2^{\varphi(n_0)}$, we have with $C > 0$ designing a generic constant :

$$\begin{aligned} (S_M f)(0) &\geq (1/n_0^2) \frac{\sin^2 \left(\frac{2\pi}{2^{\varphi(n_0)}} M \right)}{\sin \left(\frac{2\pi}{2^{\varphi(n_0)}} \right)} + O(1) \\ &\geq C(1/n_0^2) M^2 2^{-\varphi(n_0)} + O(1) \\ &\geq C \frac{M^{(2-\delta)}}{(\log \log M)^2} + O(1), \end{aligned}$$

as we have $2^{\varphi(n_0)} \leq 2^{\delta + \delta \varphi(n_0-1)} \leq 2^\delta (4M)^\delta$. □

We will now prove a law convergence for a function of the previous form.

Theorem 3.2

Fix $\delta > 1$, write $\varphi(n) = \lfloor \delta^n \rfloor$ for $n \geq 0$ and set $f(x) = \sum_{n \geq 1} n^{-2} \sin \left(\frac{4\pi}{2^{\varphi(n)}} \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} 2^i x_i \right)$. Then the ergodic sums $(S_M f)_{M \geq 0}$ satisfy the following law convergence :

$$\frac{(\log \log M)^{3/2}}{M} (S_M f) \rightarrow \mathcal{N} \left(0, \frac{(\log \delta)^3}{6} \right).$$

Proof of the theorem :

Recall that as in the previous section one has :

$$(S_M f)(x) = \sum_{n \leq l-1} (1/n^2) \sin \left[\frac{2\pi}{2^{\varphi(n)}} \left(M + \sum_{i=0}^{\varphi(n)-2} 2^{i+1} x_i \right) \right] \frac{\sin \left(\frac{2\pi M}{2^{\varphi(n)}} \right)}{\sin \left(\frac{2\pi}{2^{\varphi(n)}} \right)} + O(1). \quad (2)$$

Let $l = l(M)$ be such that $2^{\varphi(l-1)} < M \leq 2^{\varphi(l)}$ and decompose relation (2) into $A(x) = \sum_{n \leq l-1}$ and $B(x) = \sum_{n \geq l}$. Writing $C > 0$ for a generic constant, we have :

$$\begin{aligned} |A(x)| &= \left| \sum_{n \leq l-1} (1/n^2) \sin \left[\frac{2\pi}{2^{\varphi(n)}} \left(M + \sum_{i=0}^{\varphi(n)-2} 2^{i+1} x_i \right) \right] \frac{\sin \left(\frac{2\pi M}{2^{\varphi(n)}} \right)}{\sin \left(\frac{2\pi}{2^{\varphi(n)}} \right)} \right| \\ &\leq C \left(\sum_{n \leq l-1} (1/n^2) 2^{\varphi(n)} \right) \leq CM \left(\sum_{n \leq l-1} (1/n^2) 2^{\varphi(n) - \varphi(l-1)} \right) \\ &\leq C(M/l^2), \text{ since } \left(2^{\varphi(n) - \varphi(l-1)} \right) \text{ decreases geometrically as } n \rightarrow 0, \\ &\leq CM (\log \log M)^{-2}, \text{ as } l \sim (\log \delta)^{-1} (\log \log M). \end{aligned}$$

Since the forthcoming normalization will be in $M(\log \log M)^{-3/2}$, one can neglect $A(x)$. We now consider the rest $B(x)$. We use the fact that :

$$\frac{\sin(2\pi M/2^{\varphi(n)})}{\sin(2\pi/2^{\varphi(n)})} = M \left(1 + \frac{x^2}{6}(1 - M^2) + o(M^2 x^2) \right), \text{ with } x = 2\pi/2^{\varphi(n)}.$$

Considering the terms of second order, one has :

$$M \sum_{n \geq l} (1/n^2) \frac{M^2}{2^{2\varphi(n)}} \leq C(M/l^2) \leq CM(\log \log M)^{-2}.$$

Finally, with a $O(M(\log \log M)^{-2})$ uniform in x , we deduce :

$$(S_M f)(x) = M \sum_{n \geq l} (1/n^2) \sin \left[\frac{2\pi}{2^{\varphi(n)}} \left(M + \sum_{i=0}^{\varphi(n)-2} 2^{i+1} x_i \right) \right] + O(M(\log \log M)^{-2}).$$

Set now $y_k = \sum_{i=\varphi(k-1)}^{\varphi(k)-1} 2^{i+1} x_i$. Since the Haar measure on G is translation invariant, one just needs to consider :

$$D(x) := M \sum_{n \geq l} (1/n^2) \sin \left(\frac{2\pi}{2^{\varphi(n)}} (y_0 + \dots + y_n) \right).$$

Setting $z_n := y_0 + \dots + y_{n-1}$ for $n \geq l$, one has :

$$\sin(2\pi 2^{-\varphi(n)}(z_n + y_n)) = \sin(2\pi 2^{-\varphi(n)} z_n) \cos(2\pi 2^{-\varphi(n)} y_n) + \sin(2\pi 2^{-\varphi(n)} y_n) \cos(2\pi 2^{-\varphi(n)} z_n).$$

Moreover :

$$\cos(2\pi 2^{-\varphi(n)} z_n) = 1 + O(2^{2\varphi(n-1)-2\varphi(n)}) \text{ and } \sin(2\pi 2^{-\varphi(n)} z_n) = O(2^{\varphi(n-1)-\varphi(n)}), \quad (3)$$

Since $\varphi(n) - \varphi(n-1) \geq \delta^{n-1}(\delta - 1) - 1$, we deduce that the quantities that appear on the right sides of (3) decrease exponentially fast as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. Therefore we obtain :

$$D(x) := M \sum_{n \geq l} (1/n^2) \sin(2\pi 2^{-\varphi(n)} y_n) + O(M(\log \log M)^{-2}). \quad (4)$$

One now observes that the $(y_n 2^{-\varphi(n)})$ are independent. Also, the law of $(y_n 2^{-\varphi(n)})$ converges to the uniform law on $[0, 1]$. We set $u_n := \sin(2\pi 2^{-\varphi(n)} y_n)$. We will proceed to a uniform calculus, when computing the characteristic function of u_n . For t in \mathbb{R} , we have :

$$\chi_n(t) := \mathbb{E}[e^{it u_n}] = 2^{\varphi(n-1)-\varphi(n)} \sum_{k=0}^{2^{\varphi(n)-\varphi(n-1)}} e^{it \sin(2\pi k 2^{\varphi(n-1)-\varphi(n)})}.$$

Since $(d/dx) e^{it \sin(2\pi x)} = 2\pi i t e^{it \sin(2\pi x)} \cos(2\pi x)$, we deduce that with uniform constants :

$$\chi_n(t) = \int_0^1 e^{it \sin(2\pi x)} dx + O(t 2^{\varphi(n-1)-\varphi(n)}) = 1 - \frac{t^2}{4} + O(t^3) + O(t 2^{\varphi(n-1)-\varphi(n)}).$$

Consequently, considering the characteristic function of D (see (4)) for some fixed t in \mathbb{R} :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[e^{it(l^{3/2}/M)D}] &= \prod_{n \geq l} \chi_n \left(t l^{\frac{3}{2}}/n^2 \right) = \prod_{n \geq l} (1 - t^2 l^3/(4n^4) + o(l^3/n^4)) \\ &= e^{-\sum_{n \geq l} t^2 l^3/(4n^4) + o(1)} \longrightarrow e^{-t^2/12}, \text{ as } l \longrightarrow +\infty, \end{aligned}$$

since $l^3 \sum_{n \geq l} (1/n^4) \rightarrow 1/3$ as $l \rightarrow +\infty$. As $l \sim (\log \delta)^{-1} (\log \log M)$, this finishes the proof. \square

Remark. — Concerning the last part of the proof, one can apply directly to equation (4) a modified version of Brown's Theorem on the CLT for martingale differences. It will be enounced and proved in the next section (see theorem 4.2).

4 A law convergence theorem for some ergodic sums on the circle.

We now come back to the initial model of the circle equipped with an irrational rotation $T = T_\alpha$ and Lebesgue measure. Writing $(p_n/q_n)_{n \geq 0}$ the convergents of α , recall that $(p_{2n}/q_{2n})_{n \geq 0}$ and $(p_{2n+1}/q_{2n+1})_{n \geq 0}$ are adjacent and that we have $q_{2n}\alpha - p_{2n} > 0$ (see beginning of section (2)).

Theorem 4.1

For $n \geq 1$, define $r_n = (\lfloor \sqrt{\log n} \rfloor!) \lfloor ((q_n \alpha))^{-1} \theta^{-n} \rfloor$ with $\theta = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$. For $\delta > 1$ and $n \geq 0$, set $\varphi(n) = 2 \lfloor \delta^n \rfloor$ and introduce

$$\log h_\delta(x) := \sum_{n \geq 1} n^{-2} \sin(4\pi r_{\varphi(n)} q_{\varphi(n)} x).$$

Then we have :

1) Assume that $1 < \delta < 2$. For $x \in \mathbb{Q}$, there exists a constant $C(x) > 0$ such that :

$$S_M(\log h_\delta)(x) \geq C(x) \frac{M^{(2-\delta)}}{(\log \log M)^{2+\delta}}.$$

Therefore for all x in \mathbb{Q} , $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (h_\delta)_k(x) < +\infty$.

2) For any $\delta > 1$, the following convergence holds as $M \rightarrow +\infty$:

$$\left(\frac{(\log \log M)^{3/2}}{M} S_M(\log h_\delta)([Mt]) \right)_{t \in [0,1]} \rightarrow \mathcal{W} \left(0, \frac{(\log \delta)^3}{6} \right).$$

Proof of the theorem :

1) Fix $1 < \delta < 2$ and set $f = \log h_\delta$. As in the proof of theorem (2.1), one remarks that $f(x) = -f(-x)$ and $\int f(x) dx = 0$. Writing $m_n = r_{\varphi(n)} q_{\varphi(n)}$, one gets :

$$(S_M f)(0) = \sum_{n \geq 1} (1/n^2) \left(\frac{\sin(2\pi m_n M \alpha) \sin(2\pi m_n (M+1)\alpha)}{\sin(2\pi m_n \alpha)} \right).$$

Developping $\sin(2\pi m_n (M+1)\alpha) = \sin(2\pi m_n M \alpha) \cos(2\pi m_n \alpha) + \sin(2\pi m_n \alpha) \cos(2\pi m_n M \alpha)$ and using the fact that $\cos(2\pi m_n \alpha) = 1 + O((m_n \alpha))^2$, where $((m_n \alpha))$ tends to 0 exponentially fast (see further estimate (5)), we obtain :

$$(S_M f)(0) = \sum_{n \geq 1} (1/n^2) \frac{\sin^2(2\pi m_n M \alpha)}{\sin(2\pi m_n \alpha)} + O(1).$$

As $\varphi(n)$ is even, one then remarks that $m_n \alpha = ((q_{\varphi(n)} \alpha)) r_{\varphi(n)} + p_{\varphi(n)} r_{\varphi(n)}$ and that we have the majoration :

$$((q_{\varphi(n)} \alpha)) r_{\varphi(n)} \leq ((q_{\varphi(n)} \alpha)) \theta^{-\varphi(n)} \left(e^{\sqrt{\log \varphi(n)}} \right)^{\sqrt{\log \varphi(n)}} ((q_{\varphi(n)} \alpha))^{-1} \leq \varphi(n) \theta^{-\varphi(n)}, \quad (5)$$

which is $< (1/2)$ for n large enough. Thus :

$$(S_M f)(0) \geq \frac{8}{\pi} \sum_{((m_n \alpha)) \leq (1/4M)} (1/n^2) M^2 ((m_n \alpha)) + O(1).$$

As the sequence $\{(m_n)\}_{n \geq 1}$ tends to 0, we write n_0 an index such that $((m_{n_0} \alpha)) \leq (1/4M) < ((m_{n_0-1} \alpha))$. Writing $C > 0$ a generic constant, we have :

$$(S_M f)(0) \geq C(M^2/n_0^2)((m_{n_0} \alpha)) + O(1).$$

For any $n \geq 1$, one has $(1/4)(\lfloor \sqrt{\log \varphi(n)} \rfloor!) \theta^{-\varphi(n)} \leq ((m_n \alpha)) \leq (\lfloor \sqrt{\log \varphi(n)} \rfloor!) \theta^{-\varphi(n)}$. We therefore get the following estimates :

$$\begin{aligned} M^\delta ((m_{n_0} \alpha)) &\geq C((m_{n_0} \alpha)) / ((m_{n_0-1} \alpha))^\delta \geq C \frac{\lfloor \sqrt{\log \varphi(n_0)} \rfloor!}{(\lfloor \sqrt{\log \varphi(n_0-1)} \rfloor!)^\delta} \theta^{\delta \varphi(n_0-1) - \varphi(n_0)} \\ &\geq C(n_0)^{-\delta}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, as $n_0 \sim (\log \delta)^{-1} (\log \log M)$, we obtain :

$$(S_M f)(0) \geq C \frac{M^{(2-\delta)}}{(\log \log M)^{2+\delta}} + O(1).$$

For any x , one has the formula :

$$(S_M f)(x) = \sum_{n \geq 1} (1/n^2) \frac{\sin(2\pi m_n (M+1)\alpha) \sin(2\pi m_n (M\alpha + 2x))}{\sin(2\pi m_n \alpha)}. \quad (6)$$

If $x \in \mathbb{Q}$, as any integer divides m_n for n large enough, we see that in (6), $(S_M f)(x)$ and $(S_M f)(0)$ will differ by finitely many terms, independently on M . The result follows.

2) From formula (6), one has :

$$(S_M f)(x) = \sum_{n \geq 1} (1/n^2) \sin(2\pi m_n (M\alpha + 2x)) \frac{\sin(2\pi m_n M\alpha)}{\sin(2\pi m_n \alpha)} + O(1).$$

Let $l = l(M)$ be such that $((m_l \alpha)) \leq (1/4M) < ((m_{l-1} \alpha))$ and decompose relation (2) into $A(x) = \sum_{n \leq l-1}$ and $B(x) = \sum_{n \geq l}$. Writing $C > 0$ for a generic constant, we have :

$$\begin{aligned} |A(x)| &= \left| \sum_{n \leq l-1} (1/n^2) \sin(2\pi m_n (M\alpha + 2x)) \frac{\sin(2\pi m_n M\alpha)}{\sin(2\pi m_n \alpha)} \right| \\ &\leq C \left(\sum_{n \leq l-1} (1/n^2) ((m_n \alpha))^{-1} \right) \leq CM \left(\sum_{n \leq l-1} (1/n^2) ((m_{l-1} \alpha)) / ((m_n \alpha)) \right) \\ &\leq C(M/l^2), \text{ since } ((m_{l-1} \alpha)) / ((m_n \alpha)) \text{ tends geometrically to 0 as } n \rightarrow 0, \\ &\leq CM(\log \log M)^{-2}, \text{ as } l \sim (\log \delta)^{-1} (\log \log M). \end{aligned}$$

Since the forthcoming normalization will be in $M(\log \log M)^{-3/2}$, one can neglect $A(x)$. We now consider the rest $B(x)$. We use the fact that :

$$\frac{\sin(2\pi M m_n \alpha)}{\sin(2\pi m_n \alpha)} = M \left(1 + \frac{x^2}{6} (1 - M^2) + o(M^2 x^2) \right), \text{ with } x = 2\pi((m_n \alpha)).$$

Considering the terms of second order, one has :

$$M \sum_{n \geq l} (1/n^2) M^2 ((m_n \alpha))^2 \leq CM \sum_{n \geq l} (1/n^2) ((m_n \alpha))^2 / ((m_l \alpha))^2 \leq C(M/l^2) \leq CM(\log \log M)^{-2}.$$

Finally, with a $O(M(\log \log M)^{-2})$ uniform in x , we deduce :

$$(S_M f)(x) = M \sum_{n \geq l} (1/n^2) \sin(2\pi m_n (M\alpha + 2x)) + O(M(\log \log M)^{-2}).$$

Since Lebesgue measure is translation invariant, one just needs to consider :

$$D(x) := M \sum_{n \geq l} (1/n^2) \sin(4\pi m_n x).$$

We shall show that the previous expression can be written as the rest of a sum of martingale differences with a small error. We choose first the sub σ -algebras of the Borel σ -algebra. For $n \geq 1$, let \mathcal{F}_n be the σ -algebra generated by the atoms $[r/2^{k_n}, (r+1)/2^{k_n})$, $0 \leq r \leq 2^{k_n} - 1$, where k_n is the integer satisfying $2^{k_n} \leq (m_n m_{n+1})^{1/2} < 2^{k_n+1}$. Writing $(x_k)_{k \geq 1}$ the expansion of $2x$ in base 2, that is $2x = \sum_{k \geq 1} 2^{-k} x_k$, we have :

$$\left| \sum_{n \geq l} (1/n^2) \sin(4\pi m_n x) - \sum_{n \geq l} (1/n^2) \sin \left(2\pi m_n \sum_{k=1}^{k_n} 2^{-k} x_k \right) \right| \leq C \sum_{n \geq l} (1/n^2) (m_n/m_{n+1})^{1/2}.$$

We now recall that for any $p \geq 0$, one has $1/2 \geq q_{p+1}((q_p \alpha)) \leq 1$ and that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $n \geq 0$ and $p \geq 0$, one has $q_{n+p} \geq C\theta^n q_p$. Therefore :

$$m_n/m_{n+1} \leq C \frac{q_{\varphi(n)+1} \theta^{-\varphi(n)} q_{\varphi(n)}}{q_{\varphi(n+1)+1} \theta^{-\varphi(n+1)} q_{\varphi(n+1)}}$$

which tends to 0 geometrically fast. Thus, with $X_n := \sin \left(2\pi m_n \sum_{k=1}^{k_n} 2^{-k} x_k \right)$, we deduce that :

$$D(x) = M \sum_{n \geq l} (1/n^2) X_n + O(M(\log \log M)^{-2}).$$

Consequently :

$$\mathbb{E}[X_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}](x) = 2^{k_n - k_{n-1} - 1} \sum_{k=0}^{2^{k_n - k_{n-1}} - 1} \sin \left[2\pi m_n \left(\sum_{p=1}^{k_{n-1}} 2^{-p} x_p + 2^{-k_{n-1}} \frac{k}{2^{k_n - k_{n-1}}} \right) \right]$$

and also with $t_n(x) := m_n \sum_{p=1}^{k_{n-1}} 2^{-p} x_p$, we obtain :

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \mathbb{E}[X_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] - \int_0^1 \sin 2\pi (t_n(x) + m_n 2^{-k_{n-1}} t) dt \right| &\leq C(m_n 2^{-k_{n-1}})/(2^{k_n - k_{n-1}}) \\ &\leq C(m_n/m_{n+1})^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover :

$$\left| \int_0^1 \sin 2\pi (t_n(x) + m_n 2^{-k_{n-1}} t) dt \right| \leq C 2^{k_{n-1}}/m_n \leq C(m_{n-1}/m_n)^{1/2}.$$

Finally :

$$|\mathbb{E}[X_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}]| \leq C\theta^{-(\delta-1)\delta^{n-1}}.$$

Considering now $X'_n = X_n - \mathbb{E}[X_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}]$, we get :

$$D(x) = M \sum_{n \geq l} (1/n^2) X'_n + O(M(\log \log M)^{-2}).$$

We then check that we can apply to (X'_n/n) a modified version of Brown's Theorem [2], presented just below. With the notations of that theorem, we have $r_i^2 \sim (1/2) \sum_{n \geq l} (1/n^4)$. Similarly, estimating $\mathbb{E}[(X'_n)^2 | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}]$ by replacing X'_n and then by proceeding as above for $\mathbb{E}[(X_n)^2 | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}]$, one

gets $1/2$ plus a geometrical error. Thus $V_l^2 \sim (1/2) \sum_{n \geq l} (1/n^4)$. We also have $|X'_n|/n^2 \leq (2/n^2)$. Since $r_l \sim (1/\sqrt{6})l^{-3/2}$, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, one has $1_{\{|X'_n|/n^2 \geq \varepsilon r_l\}} = 0$ for l large enough. The conclusion of the theorem follows. \square

For the following result, we also refer to [3].

Theorem 4.2

On a probability space, let $(X_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be a sequence of martingale differences with respect to a non decreasing filtration $(\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \geq 0}$ with $|X_n| \leq a_n$ and $\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n < +\infty$. Set $R_l := \sum_{n \geq l} X_n$, $r_l^2 := \mathbb{E}[R_l^2]$ and $V_l^2 = \sum_{n \geq l} \mathbb{E}[X_n^2 | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}]$. If $(V_l^2/r_l^2) \rightarrow 1$ in probability and if

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, (r_l)^{-2} \sum_{j \geq l}^l \mathbb{E}[X_j^2 1_{\{|X_j| > \varepsilon r_l\}}] \xrightarrow{l \rightarrow +\infty} 0, \quad (7)$$

then an invariance principle holds :

$$\left(\frac{R_{[lt]}}{r_l} \right)_{t \in [0,1]} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(0,1).$$

Proof of the theorem :

We will just prove the validity of the Central Limit Theorem. We will follow the same scheme as in [2]. We write $\mathbb{E}_j[\cdot]$ the conditional expectation with respect to the σ -algebra \mathcal{F}_j . We introduce $V_{l,k}^2 := \sum_{l < n \leq k} \mathbb{E}_{n-1}[X_n^2]$ and in a similar way $R_{l,k}$. Let $C > 1$ be a constant. Fixing $l \geq 1$, we define $X_k^*(l) = X_k 1_{\{V_{l,k}^2 \leq C r_l^2\}}$ for $k \geq l$. It is directly checked that $(X_k^*(l))_{k \geq l}$ is a sequence of martingale differences. One also has :

$$\mathbb{P}[\exists k \geq l, X_k^*(l) \neq X_k] \leq \mathbb{P}[V_l^2 \geq C r_l^2] \rightarrow 0.$$

We can then replace X_k by $X_k^*(l)$ and moreover we have :

$$\forall k, \mathbb{P} \left[\sum_{j=l}^k \mathbb{E}_{j-1}[X_j^{*2}(l)] \leq C r_l^2 \right] = 1.$$

For convenience, we return to the notation X_k . We first have :

$$\mathbb{E} \left| e^{(t^2/2)(V_l^2/r_l^2)} - e^{(t^2/2)} \right| \rightarrow 0.$$

It is then enough to show that $\mathbb{E} \left[e^{it(R_l/r_l) + (t^2 V_l^2 / 2r_l^2)} - 1 \right] \rightarrow 0$. Then for $m > l$:

$$1 - e^{itR_{l,m}/r_l + (t^2 V_{l,m}^2)/(2r_l^2)} = \sum_{k=l}^{m-1} \left(e^{itR_{l,k}/r_l + (t^2 V_{l,k}^2)/(2r_l^2)} - e^{itR_{l,k+1}/r_l + (t^2 V_{l,k+1}^2)/(2r_l^2)} \right) =: \sum_{k=l}^{m-1} (-Z_{k+1}).$$

Define functions Q , M and Z in the following way :

$$e^{ix} = 1 + ix + (1/2)x^2 + (1/2)x^2 Q(x)$$

and $M(x) = \min(x/3, 2)$. We have $|1 - Q(x)| \leq 1$ and $|Q(x)| \leq M(x)$. We also introduce $Z(x) = e^{-x} - 1 + x$ for $x \geq 0$, which satisfies $Z(x) \leq x^2/2$. We can then write :

$$\begin{aligned} Z_k &= e^{itR_{l,k-1}/r_l + (t^2 V_{l,k}^2)/(2r_l^2)} \left(e^{itX_k/r_l} - e^{-t^2 \sigma_k^2/(2r_l^2)} \right), \text{ with } \sigma_k^2 = \mathbb{E}_{k-1}[X_k^2] \\ &= e^{itR_{l,k-1}/r_l + (t^2 V_{l,k}^2)/(2r_l^2)} \left[itX_k/r_l - (t^2 X_k^2)/(2r_l^2) (1 - Q(tX_k/r_l)) + (t^2 \sigma_k^2)/(2r_l^2) - Z(t^2 \sigma_k^2/(2r_l^2)) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Using the property of martingale differences :

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{E}_{k-1}[Z_k]| &\leq e^{t^2 C/2} |(1/2)\mathbb{E}_{k-1} [t^2(X_k^2/r_l^2)Q(tX_k/r_l)] - Z(t^2\sigma_k^2/(2r_l^2))| \\ &\leq (1/2)e^{t^2 C/2} [\mathbb{E}_{k-1} [t^2 X_k^2/r_l^2 M(tX_k/r_l)] + t^4\sigma_k^4/(4r_l^4)]. \end{aligned}$$

Summing from l to $m-1$ and setting $b_l = \max_{n \geq l} \mathbb{E}[X_n^2]$, we obtain :

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \mathbb{E} \left[e^{itR_{l,m}/r_l + t^2 V_{l,m}^2/(2r_l^2)} - 1 \right] \right| &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{k=l}^{m-1} |\mathbb{E}_{k-1}[Z_k]| \right] \\ &\leq (t^2/2)e^{t^2 C/2} \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{k=l}^{m-1} \mathbb{E}_{k-1} [t^2 X_k^2/(r_l^2) M(tX_k/r_l)] + (t^2/4)b_l \sum_{k=l}^{m-1} (\sigma_k^2)/(r_l^2) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Letting m tend to $+\infty$:

$$\left| \mathbb{E} \left[e^{itR_l/r_l + t^2 V_l^2/(2r_l^2)} - 1 \right] \right| \leq (t^2/2)e^{t^2 C/2} \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{k=l}^{+\infty} \mathbb{E}_{k-1} [t^2 X_k^2/r_l^2 M(tX_k/r_l)] + (t^2/4)b_l \sum_{k=l}^{+\infty} (\sigma_k^2/r_l^2) \right].$$

The conclusion of the theorem follows then from the next two lemmas. \square

Lemma 4.3

The quantity (V_l^2/r_l^2) converges to 1 in probability if and only if $\mathbb{E} |R_l^2/r_l^2 - 1| \rightarrow 0$.

Proof of the lemma :

One sense is obvious. Let us that if the convergence holds in probability then it is also the case in L^1 . Using the dominated convergence theorem, we will have $\mathbb{E}(R_l^2/r_l^2 - 1)_- \rightarrow 0$. However, from the definition of R_l and r_l , we have $\mathbb{E}(R_l^2/r_l^2 - 1)_- = \mathbb{E}(R_l^2/r_l^2 - 1)_+$, which concludes the proof. \square

As in [2], we introduce $g(n, \varepsilon) = (V_n)^{-2} \sum_{j=n}^{+\infty} \mathbb{E}_{j-1} [X_j^2 1_{\{|X_j| \geq \varepsilon r_j\}}]$, $G(n, \varepsilon) = (V_n^2/r_n^2)g(n, \varepsilon)$. Similarly, if U is a positive function on \mathbb{R}^+ with finite variation such that $U(0) = 0$ and such that $U(x)$ tends to some constant > 0 as $x \rightarrow +\infty$ (the function M introduced above is an example of such a function), set $h(n, \varepsilon) = (V_n)^{-2} \sum_{j=n}^{+\infty} \mathbb{E}_{j-1} [X_j^2 U(|X_j| \varepsilon^{-1} r_j^{-1})]$ and $H(n, \varepsilon) = (V_n^2/r_n^2)h(n, \varepsilon)$. Then we have the following result.

Lemma 4.4

1) If (V_l^2/r_l^2) converges to 1 in probability, then the Lindeberg like condition (7) is equivalent to the convergence to 0, either in probability or in L^1 , of the functions g, G, h, H , for all $\varepsilon > 0$.

2) With $b_l = \max_{n \geq l} \mathbb{E}[X_n^2]$, one has $b_l/r_l \rightarrow 0$, as $l \rightarrow +\infty$.

Sketch of proof of the lemma :

1) We refer to [2] for the essential. The key points are first that there exists constants $a, b > 0$ such that $b1_{\{x \geq a\}} \leq U(x), \forall x \geq 0$. One can then write :

$$\begin{aligned} h(n, \varepsilon) &= \int_0^{+\infty} g(n, \varepsilon y) dU(y) = (V_n)^{-2} \sum_{j=n}^{+\infty} \mathbb{E}_{j-1} \left[X_j^2 \int_0^{+\infty} 1_{\{|X_j| \geq \varepsilon y r_n\}} dU(y) \right] \\ &\leq \int_0^\delta dU(y) + K g(n, \varepsilon \delta) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[H(n, \varepsilon)] &= \mathbb{E}[(1 + \delta_n)h(n, \varepsilon)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[h(n, \varepsilon)] + \mathbb{E}[\delta_n h(n, \varepsilon)].\end{aligned}$$

One has $\mathbb{E}[\delta_n h(n, \varepsilon)] \leq K\mathbb{E}[|\delta_n|] \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, using lemma (4.3). The rest is the same.

2) One can write :

$$\begin{aligned}(r_l)^{-2}\mathbb{E}[X_n^2] &\leq \varepsilon^2 + (r_l)^{-2}\mathbb{E}[X_n^2 1_{\{|X_n| \geq \varepsilon r_l\}}] \\ &\leq \varepsilon^2 + \mathbb{E}[G(l, \varepsilon)].\end{aligned}$$

The conclusion follows. □

References

- [1] J-P. Conze and Y. Guivarc'h, *Marches en milieu aléatoire et mesures quasi-invariants pour un système dynamique*, Colloq. Math. **84/85** (2000), no. , part 2, 457–480, Dedicated to the memory of Anzelm Iwanik.
- [2] B. M. Brown, *Martingale central limit theorems*, Ann. Math. Statist. **42** (1971), 59–66.
- [3] P. Hall and C. C. Heyde, *Martingale limit theory and its application*, Academic Press Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich Publishers], New York, 1980, Probability and Mathematical Statistics.